Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,034	JAYASEKARA, WIPUL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Craig A. Renner	2652
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.	(3)	
(2) Ronald B. Feece, Attorney For Applicants.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 November 2005	Time: <u>N/A</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	, ,
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.	·	
Claims discussed: Includes 1, 10, 11 and 20.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Non-elected claims 1, 10, 11 and 20 were canceled without preamendment, and drawing corrections were agreed upon.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS judice or disclaimer and the title w	S DISCUSSED: as amended both by examiner's
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Th of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	A VApplicant's Representative Si	anoturo if angressiste)
(Application	ay Abhironir a Webieselirarive 21	gnature – ii appropriate)